

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100
Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Gurinder Singh (6239871477)
S/o Sh. Harnek Singh
R/o BhagtaBhaika, Tehsil Phul,
District Bathinda

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o DC, Bathinda

First Appellate Authority
O/o DC, Bathinda

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 362 of 2021
Heard through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Gurinder Singh, the appellant
(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Ravindee Kumar (JA) (9501497500)

Order:

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 01.09.2021 vide which respondent PIO was directed to supply the pending information relate with point no. 3 to the appellant and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 05.10.2021 i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing both the parties are present and appellant states that he is satisfied with the supplied information and has no objection to close this case.
3. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required. Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 5.10.2021

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,

Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Gurinder Singh (6239871477)

S/o Sh. Harnek Singh

Bhagta Bhai Ka,

Tehsil Phul, Distt. Bathinda

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o SSP, Bathinda

First Appellate Authority

O/o IGP, Bathinda Range,

Bathinda.

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1006 of 2021

Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) Sh. Gurinder Singh, the appellant in person.

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Jaspal Singh (DSP).

ORDER:

1. The RTI application is dated 14.07..2021 vide which respondent Sh. Jaspal Singh stated that the appellant demanded information relates with CCTV cameras and reply had already been supplied, stating that as per Section 8 (1) (j) requisite information cannot be supplied for the sake of security purpose of the citizens. Respondent PIO was directed to provide the number of cameras only to the appellant before the next date of hearing positively. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 05.10.2021 i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Jaspal Singh states that total 27 cameras are installed in the Bathinda city as per official record and reply as per previous orders of the Commission dated 14.07.2021 has already been supplied to the appellant.
3. On this, appellant states that the number of cameras is received from the respondent but requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO.
4. After hearing with both the parties and examining case file, it is observed that as per para 4 of the previous orders of the Commission on 14.07.2021, respondent PIO denied information to be supplied for the sake of security purposes of the citizens and respondent PIO was only directed to supply the number of cameras to the appellant, which had already been supplied. . Therefore, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Announced in the Court. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 05.10.2021

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab